

**Bauman Moscow State Technical
University**

*Check of Existence
of Input-Output Map Realization*

FS12 postgraduate

Artem Vladimirovich Evseev

**Traditional Youth School “Control, Information and Optimization”
2012, Zvenigorod**

Realization Problem

Input-Output Map

$$y_i^{k_i} = \varphi_i(t, y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, u, \dot{u}, \dots, u^{(s)}), \quad i = \overline{1, p}, \quad y \in R^p, u \in R^m \quad (1)$$

Realization problem is to transform system (1) into state-space form

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u, \dot{u}, \dots, u^{(r_0)}), \quad x \in R^n, \quad (2)$$

$$y = h(t, x, u, \dot{u}, \dots, u^{(r)}) \quad (3)$$

To do this we need the following functions

$$x = X(t, y, \dot{y}, \dots, y^{(k-1)}, u, \dot{u}, \dots, u^{(s_1)}), \quad (4)$$

The required view of (2)

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, u), \quad x \in R^n, \quad n = k_1 + \dots + k_p \quad (5)$$

Realization Example

$$\ddot{y} = y + 2y\dot{y} + \dot{u}$$

↓

$$x_1 = y,$$

$$x_2 = \dot{y} - u$$

↓

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + u,$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_1 + 2x_1x_2 + 2x_1u$$

Main Definitions

F is the ring of smooth functions depending on t, y, u and an arbitrary quantity of their derivatives.

$$H_0 = \text{span}_F \{dt, dy, d\dot{y}, \dots, dy^{(k-1)}, du, d\dot{u}, \dots, du^{(s-1)}\} \quad (6)$$

$$H_{k+1} = \{\omega \in H_k : \dot{\omega} \in H_k\} \quad (7)$$

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{l_\alpha=0}^{k_\alpha-2} y_\alpha^{(l_\alpha+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha^{(l_\alpha)}} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \varphi_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha^{(k_\alpha-1)}} + \sum_{\beta=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{2s-2} u_\beta^{(k+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\beta^{(k)}} \quad (8)$$

$$D_i = \text{span}\{ad_D B_1, \dots, ad_D B_m, \dots, ad_D^i B_1, \dots, ad_D^i B_m\}, \quad i = \overline{1, s}, \quad (9)$$

where $B_\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\beta^{(s)}}$, $\beta = \overline{1, m}$

Conditions of Realization Existence

Theorem 1 (Chetverikov, Krischenko)

State-space realization (5), (3) for the input–output map (1) locally exists if and only if module H_s has a basis consisting of exact 1-forms (i.e. H_s is **integrable**). As X in the change of variables (4) we can choose functions whose differentials are these exact 1-forms.

If such realization exists then $n = k_1 + \dots + k_p$.

Theorem 2 (Chetverikov, Krischenko)

State-space realization (2), (3) for the input–output map (1) exists if and only if distribution D_s is **integrable**. As the set of X components (change of variables) we can choose the set of first integrals for D_s .

Ways of Checking Integrability

- Symbolic integration of distribution with systems of computer algebra
- Frobenius conditions for distributions

Regular $\tilde{D} = \text{span}_F \{X_0, \dots, X_k\}$ is integrable if and only if

$$[X_i, X_j] \in \tilde{D}, \quad 0 \leq i < j \leq k \quad (10)$$

- Frobenius conditions for codistributions

If $\{\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ is a basis of regular codistribution H_s then H_s is integrable if and only if

$$d\omega_i \wedge \omega_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n = 0, \quad i = \overline{0, n} \quad (11)$$

Basis Construction: H_0 Decomposition

Theorem 3. For an arbitrary 1-form $\omega \in H_0$

$$\omega = a_0 dt + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{l_i=0}^{k_i-1} a_i^{l_i} dy_i^{(l_i)} + \sum_{q=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} b_q^k du_q^{(k)} \quad (12)$$

exists unique decomposition

$$\omega = \omega_{s+1} + \sum_{q=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_q^k du_q^{(k)}, \quad (13)$$

with c_q^k can be found from recurrent relations.

Remark. Coefficients c_q^k do not depend on derivatives of $a_i^{l_i}$ and b_q^k

Basis construction

Theorem 3. As a basis we can choose the following system

$$dt, \omega_1^0, \dots, \omega_1^{k_1-1}, \omega_2^0, \dots, \omega_p^{k_p-1},$$

$$\omega_i^{l_i} = dy_i^{l_i} - \sum_{q=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_{i,q}^{l_i,k} du_q^{(k)}, \quad i = \overline{1, p}, l_i = \overline{0, k_i - 1},$$

where $c_{i,q}^{l_i,k} = c_q^k(dy_i^{l_i})$ – coefficients in decomposition of $dy_i^{l_i}$

according to (8).



We can check Frobenius conditions

$$d\omega_i \wedge \omega_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n = 0, \quad i = \overline{0, n}$$

Simplicity, Reliability

How can we find the rank of Functional matrix?

We cannot rely on math programs

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 100000 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.00001 \end{bmatrix}$$

LinearAlgebra:-Rank(A) = 1

Simplified Model of Crane

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = -\frac{g \sin y}{R} - \frac{2\dot{y}}{R} \dot{R} - \frac{\cos y}{R} \ddot{D}$$



Basis + Frobenius theorem

$$\frac{2 \cos y}{R^2} - \frac{\cos y}{R^2} \equiv 0$$



We cannot reduce the order of control derivatives

Fragile Realization

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = -\frac{g \sin y}{R} - \frac{\dot{y}}{R} \dot{R} - \frac{\cos y}{R} \ddot{D}$$

$$x_1 = y, \quad x_2 = \dot{D} + \frac{R\dot{y}}{\cos y}$$

↓

$$\dot{x}_1 = \cos x_1 \frac{x_2 - \dot{D}}{R},$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = \operatorname{tg} x_1 (x_2 - \dot{D} - g)$$

Conclusions

- Module H_0 decomposition is made
- Analytical expressions for H_s basis are found
- Basis of H_s is used to check integrability of the codistribution and existence of a realization