

# Mirror Descent Algorithms in the Problems of Convex Optimization and Control

**NAZIN A.V.**

Institute of Control Sciences RAS, Moscow

nazine@ipu.ru, anazine@rambler.ru

June 20, 2012

*IV Traditional Youth School “Control, Information, and Optimization”*

*Zvenigorod, 17–24 June 2012*

## Outline

1. Introduction to Mirror Descent Method (MDM).
2. General consideration and convex optimization problem.
3. Estimation of the Main Eigenvector of a Stochastic Matrix.
4. Multi-Armed Bandit Problem via MDM.
5. Conclusions.
6. Some References.

## Introduction

**MDA** is a gradient-type recursive method for convex optimization, i.e. primal-dual method performing the descent in a dual space and mapping the resulted points to a primal space. See the following references:

1. Nemirovski and Yudin (1979/1983): [1]
2. Ben-Tal, Margalit, and Nemirovski (2001): [2]
3. Beck and Teboulle (2003): [3]
4. Nesterov (2005, 2007): [4], [5]
5. Juditsky, Nazin, Tsybakov, and Vayatis (2005): [6]
6. Juditsky, Lan, Nemirovski, and Shapiro (2007): [7]

# 1 Idea behind MDM (continuous time)

[1]

Consider a primal-dual method, that is MDM:

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = -\nabla_x f(x(t)), \quad \xi(0) = \xi_0, \quad (1)$$

$$x(t) = \nabla_{\xi} W(\xi(t)), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Here:

- $f$  is a convex function to be minimized in Banach space  $E$ ,
- $W$  is a uniform differentiable, convex function on dual space  $E^*$ .

For instance, “Euclidean” case of

$$W(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|_2^2$$

gives a well-known standard gradient method

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\nabla_x f(x(t)).$$

Let us look at a simple analysis as follows. Assume

$$x^* = \arg \min f(x).$$

Then we have a candidate Lyapunov function

$$W_*(\xi) \triangleq W(\xi) - \langle \xi, x^* \rangle,$$

since

$$\frac{dW_*(\xi(t))}{dt} = \langle \dot{\xi}(t), \nabla_{\xi} W(\xi(t)) - x^* \rangle \quad (3)$$

$$= - \langle \nabla_x f(x(t)), x(t) - x^* \rangle \quad (4)$$

$$\leq f(x^*) - f(x(t)) \quad (5)$$

$$\leq 0, \quad (6)$$

that is function  $W_*(\xi)$  decreases along the trajectory  $\{\xi(t)\}$ .

Furthermore, (3)–(5) lead to

$$f(x(t)) - f(x^*) \leq \langle \dot{\xi}(t), x^* \rangle - \frac{dW(\xi(t))}{dt}, \quad (7)$$

and, assuming that

$$\xi(0) = 0, \quad W(0) = 0,$$

and integrating by  $t \in [0, T]$ , we get

$$\int_0^T f(x(t))dt - T f(x^*) \leq \langle \xi(T), x^* \rangle - W(\xi(T)) \quad (8)$$
$$\leq V(x^*) \quad (9)$$

with the Legendre transformation

$$V(x) \triangleq \sup_{\xi} \{ \langle \xi, x \rangle - W(\xi) \}.$$

Now, introduce the average estimate

$$\hat{x}(T) \triangleq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(t) dt .$$

By Jensen lemma and convexity of  $f(x)$ , eqs (8)–(9) lead to

$$f(\hat{x}(T)) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{T} V(x^*). \quad (10)$$



## Resume:

- Function  $W : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a parameter of MDM which ensures the Lyapunov function  $W_* : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ; in particular, MDM reduces to standard gradient method; therefore, this additional degree of freedom may improve the accuracy algorithm, at least potentially.
- MDM leads to the average estimate  $\hat{x}(t)$ , i.e. time-average to current estimates over the time interval  $[0, t]$ .

- Non-asymptotical upper bound on difference between current estimation function  $f(\hat{x}(t))$  and function minimum  $f(x^*)$  is ensured; this upper bound is of type  $O(T^{-1})$ , and it is directly depending on  $V(x^*)$ ; therefore, the given class function has to ensure the finite upper bound  $\sup V(x)$ . (Thus, further consideration is reduced to function minimization over a given compact convex set.)
- The previous consideration shows the role of Legendre transformation.

## 2 A Generalized View-Point

**Proxy functions.** Denote by  $E = \ell_1^M$  the space  $\mathbb{R}^M$  with the 1-norm

$$\|z\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^M |z^{(j)}|$$

and by  $E^* = \ell_\infty^M$  the dual space which is  $\mathbb{R}^M$  equipped with the sup-norm

$$\|z\|_\infty = \max_{\|\theta\|_1=1} z^T \theta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} |z^{(j)}|, \quad \forall z \in E^*.$$

Let  $\Theta$  be a convex, closed set in  $E$ . For a given parameter  $\beta > 0$  and a convex function  $V : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we call  $\beta$ -conjugate function of  $V$  the Legendre–Fenchel type transform of  $\beta V$ :

$$\forall z \in E^*, \quad W_\beta(z) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \{ -z^T \theta - \beta V(\theta) \} . \quad (11)$$

**Assumption (L).** *A convex function  $V : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that its  $\beta$ -conjugate  $W_\beta$  is continuously differentiable on  $E^*$  and its gradient  $\nabla W_\beta$  satisfies*

$$\|\nabla W_\beta(z) - \nabla W_\beta(\tilde{z})\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \|z - \tilde{z}\|_\infty, \quad \forall z, \tilde{z} \in E^*, \beta > 0,$$

*where  $\alpha > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\beta$ .*

Assumption (L) relates to the strong convexity w.r.t. 1-norm:

$$V(sx + (1 - s)y) \leq sV(x) + (1 - s)V(y) - \frac{\alpha}{2}s(1 - s)\|x - y\|_1^2 \quad (12)$$

for all  $x, y \in \Theta$  and any  $s \in [0, 1]$ .

The following proposition sums up some properties of  $\beta$ -conjugates and, in particular, yields a sufficient condition for Assumption (L).

**Proposition 1.** *Let function  $V : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex and  $\beta > 0$ . Then, the  $\beta$ -conjugate  $W_\beta$  of  $V$  has the following properties.*

1. *The function  $W_\beta : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and has a conjugate  $\beta V$ , i.e.,*

$$\forall \theta \in \Theta, \quad \beta V(\theta) = \sup_{z \in E^*} \{ -z^T \theta - W_\beta(z) \} .$$

2. *If function  $V$  is  $\alpha$ -strongly convex with respect to the 1-norm then*

- (i) *Assumption (L) holds true,*
- (ii)  $\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \{ -z^T \theta - \beta V(\theta) \} = -\nabla W_\beta(z) \in \Theta .$

**Definition 1.** We call  $V : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  proxy function if it is convex, and

(i) there exists a point  $\theta_* \in \Theta$  such that  $\min_{\theta \in \Theta} V(\theta) = V(\theta_*)$ ,

(ii) Assumption (L) holds true.



**Example:** Consider a standard simplex  $\Theta = \Theta_M$  and an entropy-type proxy function

$$V(\theta) = \ln(M) + \sum_{j=1}^M \theta^{(j)} \ln \theta^{(j)} \quad (13)$$

(where  $0 \ln 0 \triangleq 0$ ) which has a single minimizer  $\theta_* = (1/M, \dots, 1/M)^T$  with  $V(\theta_*) = 0$ . It is directly checked that this function is  $\alpha$ -strongly convex w.r.t. the 1-norm, with the parameter  $\alpha = 1$ . This leads to a  $\beta$ -conjugate function  $W_\beta(z)$  of (14) and to a Mirror Descent Algorithm:

Indeed, for  $\beta > 0$ , one get function

$$W_\beta(z) = \beta \ln \left( \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M e^{-z^{(k)}/\beta} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^M, \quad (14)$$

with partial derivatives relating to a Gibbs distribution on the coordinates of vector  $z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(M)})^T$ , with  $\beta$  being a “temperature” parameter:

$$-\frac{\partial W_\beta(z)}{\partial z^{(j)}} = e^{-z^{(j)}/\beta} \left( \sum_{k=1}^M e^{-z^{(k)}/\beta} \right)^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (15)$$

□

## Convex Stochastic Optimization Problem

$$A(\theta) \triangleq \mathbb{E} Q(\theta, Z) \rightarrow \min_{\theta \in \Theta}$$

with loss function  $Q : \Theta \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  being such that the random function  $Q(\cdot, Z) : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is convex a.s., on a convex closed set  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^M$ .

Let a learning sample be given in the form of an i.i.d. sequence  $(Z_1, \dots, Z_{t-1})$ , where each  $Z_i$  has the same distribution as  $Z$ .

Denote stochastic subgradients

$$u_i(\theta) = \nabla_{\theta} Q(\theta, Z_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (16)$$

which are measurable functions on  $\Theta \times \mathcal{Z}$  such that, for any  $\theta \in \Theta$ , the expectation  $\mathbb{E} u_i(\theta)$  belongs to the subdifferential of the function  $A(\theta)$ .

## Mirror Descent Algorithm (MDA)

The algorithm is defined as follows:

- Fix the initial value  $\zeta_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^M$ .
- For  $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$ , do the recursive update

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_i &= \zeta_{i-1} + \gamma_i u_i(\theta_{i-1}), \\ \theta_i &= -\nabla W_{\beta_i}(\zeta_i).\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

- Output at iteration  $t$  the following convex combination:

$$\hat{\theta}_t = \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \theta_{i-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i \right)^{-1}.\tag{18}$$

## A Particular Case of the Algorithm

Let

$$\gamma_i \equiv 1, \quad \beta_i = \beta_0 \sqrt{i+1} \quad (i \geq 1), \quad \beta_0 > 0. \quad (19)$$

Then the algorithm becomes simpler and can be implemented in the following recursive form:

$$\zeta_i = \zeta_{i-1} + u_i(\theta_{i-1}), \quad (20)$$

$$\theta_i = -\nabla W_{\beta_i}(\zeta_i), \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_i = \hat{\theta}_{i-1} - \frac{1}{i} \left( \hat{\theta}_{i-1} - \theta_{i-1} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (22)$$

with initial value  $\zeta_0 = 0$ .

**Theorem 0.** *Assume that*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla_{\theta} Q(\theta, Z)\|_{\infty}^2 \leq L_{\Theta, Q}^2, \quad (23)$$

where  $L_{\Theta, Q} \in (0, +\infty)$ . Let  $V$  be a proxy function on  $\Theta$  satisfying Assumption (L) with a parameter  $\alpha > 0$ , and assume that there exists  $\theta_A^* \in \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\text{Argmin}} A(\theta)$ . Furthermore, let  $V(\theta_A^*) \leq \bar{V} < +\infty$ , and we set  $\beta_0 = L_{\Theta, Q} (\alpha \bar{V})^{-1/2}$ .

Then, with sequences  $(\gamma_i)_{i \geq 1}$  and  $(\beta_i)_{i \geq 1}$  from (19), for any integer  $t \geq 1$ , the estimate  $\hat{\theta}_t$  being defined in (17)–(18) with stochastic subgradients (16) satisfies inequality

$$\mathbb{E} A(\hat{\theta}_t) - \min_{\theta \in \Theta} A(\theta) \leq 2 L_{\Theta, Q} (\alpha^{-1} \bar{V})^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{t+1}}{t}. \quad (24)$$

In particular, if  $\Theta$  is a convex compact set, we can take

$$\bar{V} = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} V(\theta). \quad \square$$

**Proof:** see [6].



### 3 Main Eigenvalue Estimation to a Stochastic Matrix.

Let  $A = \|a_{ij}\|_{N \times N}$  be a given left stochastic matrix,  $N$  be a *large number*. Denote  $\Theta_N \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , the standard simplex.

**Our goal:** We are to approximate a positive solution to a linear system equations

$$Ax = x, \quad x \in \Theta_N. \quad (25)$$

**Motivation:** Calculations for PageRank problem.

## Notations

- Let  $\mathcal{A}_N$  be a set of all left stochastic  $N \times N$ -matrices  $A$ .
- $A^{(j)}$  and  $A_{(i)}$  mean  $j$ -column and  $i$ -row in the matrix  $A$ .
- Given a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ , define set

$$X_* \triangleq \underset{x \in \Theta_N}{\text{Arg min}} \|Ax - x\|_2 = \{x \in \Theta_N : Ax = x\} \quad (26)$$

being convex compact of all solutions  $x_* \in X_*$ .

- Define risk functions

$$\mathcal{R}_A(x) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - x\|_2^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_A(x) \triangleq \|Ax - x\|_2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (28)$$

## Related Optimization Problem

Minimize risk function  $\mathcal{R}_A(x)$  on simplex  $\Theta_N$ . This gives

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{R}_A(x) = A^T Ax - A^T x - Ax + x. \quad (29)$$

## Stochastic Gradients by Randomization

On iteration  $k \geq 1$ , one can prove

$$\mathbb{E}(\zeta_k \mid x_1, \dots, x_k) = \nabla_x \mathcal{R}_A(x)|_{x=x_k}, \quad (30)$$

where  $x_t$  means the result of  $t^{\text{th}}$  iteration,  $t = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\zeta_k \triangleq \left(A_{(\xi_k)}\right)^T - \left(A_{(\eta_k)}\right)^T - A^{(\eta_k)} + x_k; \quad (31)$$

having the two random indexes  $\xi_k, \eta_k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  with

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta_k = j \mid x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (32)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_k = i \mid x_1, \eta_1, \dots, x_k, \eta_k) = a_{i\eta_k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (33)$$

**Important bounds hold**

$$\|\zeta_k\|_\infty \leq \| (A_{(\xi_k)})^T - (A_{(\eta_k)})^T \|_\infty + \|x_k - A^{(\eta_k)}\|_\infty \quad (34)$$

$$\leq 2. \quad (35)$$

## Optimization MD Algorithms

- Fix  $x_0 \in \Theta_N$  and  $\psi_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Fix positive  $(\gamma_k)_{k \geq 1}$ ,  $(\beta_k)_{k \geq 1}$ , and horizon  $n > 1$ .
- For  $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$  generate  $\eta_k$  and  $\xi_k$  by (32) and (33); then calculate stochastic gradient  $\zeta_k$  (31), and iterate

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k &= \psi_{k-1} + \gamma_k \zeta_k, \\ x_k &= -\nabla W_{\beta_k}(\psi_k). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

- Output  $n^{\text{th}}$  iteration of convex combination

$$\hat{x}_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k x_{k-1}}{\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k}. \tag{37}$$



Here function  $W_\beta(z)$  and its gradient  $\nabla W_\beta(\cdot)$  being Gibbs potential are as follows:  $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$W_\beta(z) = \beta \ln \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N e^{-z^{(k)}/\beta} \right), \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\partial W_\beta(z)}{\partial z^{(j)}} = -e^{-z^{(j)}/\beta} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N e^{-z^{(k)}/\beta} \right)^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \quad (39)$$

**Remark:** Another conjugate function  $W_\beta(z) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|z\|_2^2$  would give Euclidean potential  $\nabla W_\beta(z) = \beta z$  which leads to an ordinary stochastic gradient algorithm (projected SA) with time averaging. Cf Polyak–Juditsky SA with averaging.

## Main Results I: Uniform Upper Bounds

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $N \geq 2$ , and let estimation  $\hat{x}_n$  be defined by randomized algorithm (36)–(39) with stochastic gradient  $\zeta_k$  (31) and the parameters*

$$\gamma_k \equiv 1, \quad \beta_k = \beta_0 \sqrt{k+1}, \quad \beta_0 = 2(\ln N)^{-1/2}. \quad (40)$$

*Then, under arbitrary iteration number  $n \geq 1$ , one holds*

$$\mathbb{E} \|A\hat{x}_n - \hat{x}_n\|_2^2 \leq 8 (\ln N)^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{n}. \quad (41)$$

**Remark:** The projected SA (i.e., the MDA with Euclidean potential) would give Upper Bound like  $O(N/n)$ , instead of  $O(\sqrt{\ln N/n})$  (41). For instance, condition  $\sqrt{(\ln N)/n} \geq N/n$  implies  $n \geq N^2/(\ln N)$ .

## 4 Multi-Armed Bandit Problem.

Presented at the 17th IFAC World Congress:

1. Juditsky, A., A.V. Nazin, A.B. Tsybakov, N. Vayatis.  
Gap-free Bounds for Stochastic Multi-Armed Bandit.  
*Proc. 17th IFAC World Congress, Seoul, Korea, 6–11 July  
2008, pp.11560–11563.*



Let  $X = \{x(1), \dots, x(N)\}$  be a set of  $N$  available actions. At each time  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have to choose sequentially an action  $x_t \in X$ . We denote by  $\eta_t$  the observable (instantaneous) loss for the choice of  $x_t$ , and introduce the average loss up to horizon  $T$  which is to be minimized:

$$\Phi_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t. \quad (42)$$

A strategy  $\mathcal{U}$  is a sequence of rules for the choice  $x_t$  at times  $t = 1, \dots, T$ . In the stochastic setup that we consider here, the sequence of losses  $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 1}$  is a stochastic process and  $x_t$  is a measurable function (random, in general) depending only on the vector of past decisions and losses  $(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{t-1})$ .

Any strategy  $\mathcal{U}$  generates a flow of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{x_1, \dots, x_t; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_t\}$ ,  $t \geq 1$  (for brevity we do not indicate the dependence of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ ). Throughout the paper we denote by  $z^{(j)}$  the  $j$ th component of vector  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

## Two basic assumptions:

**A1.** With probability 1, the conditional expectations satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}\{\eta_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, x_t = x(k)\} = a_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \quad (43)$$

where  $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$  are unknown deterministic values.

The value  $a_k$  characterizes the expected loss for deciding to take the action  $x_t = x(k)$  at time  $t$ . Assumption A1 says that this loss should not depend on  $t$ .

**A2.** The second conditional moment of the loss  $\eta_t$  is a.s. bounded by a constant:

$$\mathbb{E}\{\eta_t^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, x_t\} \leq \sigma^2 < \infty. \quad (44)$$

It is easy to prove (see, e.g., [12]) that under these assumptions all the limiting points of the average loss sequence  $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 1}$  cannot be almost surely (a.s.) less than

$$a_{\min} \triangleq \min_{k=1, \dots, N} a_k .$$

Thus, the problem is to design a strategy  $\mathcal{U}^*$  which has the asymptotically minimal average loss:

$$\Phi_T \rightarrow a_{\min} \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty , \quad (45)$$

in an appropriate probability sense.

We study here *convergence in mean*, trying to get the rate of convergence

$$\mathbb{E}(\Phi_T) \rightarrow a_{\min}$$

as fast as possible.

In particular, we provide *non-asymptotic* upper bounds for the expected excess risk  $\mathbb{E}(\Phi_T) - a_{\min}$  that are close, up to logarithmic factors, to the lower bound of the order  $\sqrt{N/T}$  proved for arbitrary  $N$  by (see Theorem 6.11 in [14]).

We will suppose that the following assumption on the loss sequence  $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 1}$  holds:

**A3.** The losses are nonnegative:  $\eta_t \geq 0$  a.s.

Below we propose a randomized decision strategy in which, at each step  $t + 1$ , the action  $x_{t+1}$  is drawn according to a distribution  $p_t \triangleq \left( p_t^{(1)}, \dots, p_t^{(N)} \right)^\top$  over  $X$  where:

$$p_t^{(k)} \triangleq \mathbb{P}(x_{t+1} = x(k) \mid \mathcal{F}_t), \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (46)$$

The update of the distribution  $p_t$  over time is given by the MDA.

Denote by  $\Theta$  the simplex of all probability vectors over  $X$ :

$$\Theta \triangleq \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \mid \sum_{k=1}^N p^{(k)} = 1 \right\} . \quad (47)$$

We then define the mean (over the set of actions) loss function  $A$  on  $\Theta$ :

$$A(p) = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k p^{(k)} = a^\top p, \quad p \in \Theta, \quad (48)$$

where  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N)^\top$ . Since  $p_t$  is a random vector, the quantity  $A(p_t)$  is a random variable. The update rule for the probability distribution  $p_t$  uses a stochastic gradient of  $A$ .

The expected average loss equals to the average over time of the expectations  $\mathbb{E}A(p_t)$ , that is

$$\mathbb{E}(\Phi_T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\eta_t | x_t, \mathcal{F}_{t-1})) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(A(p_{t-1})) . \quad (49)$$

**Theorem.** *Let assumptions A1-A2-A3 be satisfied and let the conditional distributions  $(p_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be defined by the MDA. Then, for any horizon  $T \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}(\Phi_T) - a_{\min} \leq 2\sigma \frac{\sqrt{(T+1)N \ln N}}{T} . \quad (50)$$



**Remark:** The known information lower bound (see [14], Theorem 6.11) differs from the upper bound (50) by logarithmic term  $\sqrt{\ln N}$ .

## 5 Conclusions.

**See another problems, for instance:**

- Robust PageRank [Polyak, Juditsky (2011)]:

$$f(x) \triangleq \|Ax\|_2 + \varepsilon \|x\|_2 \rightarrow \min_{x \in \Theta_N},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given,  $\Theta_N$  stands for standard simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A = P - I$ ,  $P$  is a given stochastic  $N \times N$ -matrix,  $I$  is the identical matrix.

- Classification (pattern recognition) [6]
- Control of finite Markov chains [16], [17].

## References

- [1] Nemirovskii, A.S. and Yudin, D.B., *Slozhnost' zadach i effektivnost' metodov optimizatsii*, Moscow: Nauka, 1979 (in Russian). Translated under the title *Problem Complexity and Method Efficiency in Optimization*, Chichester: Wiley, 1983.
- [2] A. Ben-Tal, T. Margalit, A. Nemirovski. The ordered subsets mirror descent optimization method with applications to tomography. *SIOPT* 12(1), 79–108, 2001.
- [3] A. Beck, M. Teboulle. Mirror descent and nonlinear projected subgradient methods for convex optimization. *Oper. Res. Lett.* 31(3), 167–175, 2003.

- [4] Yu. Nesterov. Primal-dual subgradient methods for convex problems: Core discussion paper 2005/67. Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium: Center for Operation Research and Econometrics, 2005.
- [5] Yu. Nesterov. Primal-dual subgradient methods for convex problems // *Mathematical Programming*, 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s10107-007-0149-x.
- [6] A.B. Juditsky, A.V. Nazin, A.B. Tsybakov, and N. Vayatis. Recursive aggregation of estimators by the mirror descent algorithm with averaging. *Problems of Information Transmission*, 41(4):368–384, 2005.

- [7] A. Juditsky, G. Lan, A. Nemirovski, and A. Shapiro. Stochastic Approximation approach to Stochastic Programming. *Optimization Online*, 10/03/2007  
[http://www.optimization-online.org/DB\\_HTML/2007/09/1787.html](http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_HTML/2007/09/1787.html)
- [8] A.V. Nazin. Solution to a Particular Deterministic Problem in Finite High Dimension by the Recursive Randomized Mirror Descent Algorithm. *8th Meeting on Mathematical Statistics*, CIRM, Luminy, France, 2008.
- [9] A.V. Nazin and B.T. Polyak. The Randomized Algorithm for Finding an Eigenvector of the Stochastic Matrix with Application to PageRank. *Doklady Mathematics*, 2009, Vol. 79, No. 3, pp. 424–427.

- [10] A.V. Nazin and B.T. Polyak. Adaptive Randomized Algorithm for Finding Eigenvector of Stochastic Matrix with Application to PageRank. *48th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, Shanghai, China, December 2009. (Regular Paper.)
- [11] A.V. Nazin. Estimating the Principal Eigenvector of a Stochastic Matrix: Mirror Descent Algorithms via Game Approach. *49th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, December 2010. (Submitted as a Regular Paper.)

- [12] A.V. Nazin and A.S. Poznyak. *Adaptive Choice of Variants*. Nauka, Moscow, 1986, (in Russian).
- [13] K. Najim and A.S. Poznyak. *Learning automata: theory and applications*. Pergamon Press, Inc., Elmsford, NY, USA, 1994. ISBN 0-08-042024-9.
- [14] N. Cesa-Bianchi and G. Lugosi. *Prediction, Learning, and Games*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [15] A.V. Nazin, B.T. Polyak.  
A randomized algorithm for finding the eigenvector of a stochastic matrix with application to PageRank problem. *Automation and Remote Control*, 2011, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 342–352.)

- [16] Nazin, A.V., B.M. Miller. Mirror Descent Algorithm for Homogeneous Finite Controlled Markov Chains with Unknown Mean Losses. *Proc. 18th IFAC World Congress, Milano, Italy, August 28 – September 2, 2011.*
- [17] Nazin, A.V., B.M. Miller. The Mirror Descent Control Algorithm for Weakly Regular Homogeneous Finite Markov Chains with Unknown Mean Losses. *The 50th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference, December 12–15, 2011, Orlando, Florida USA.*



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !!!